

Global Credit Research - 30 Nov 2011

Czech Republic

### Ratings

<b>Category</b>	<b>Moody's Rating</b>
Rating Outlook	STA

### Contacts

<b>Analyst</b>	<b>Phone</b>
Benjamin Serra/Paris	33.1.53.30.10.20
Martina Reptova/London	44.20.7772.5454
Simon Harris/London	

### Key Indicators

#### Pojistovaci maklerstvi INPOL a.s.[1][2]

	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
Total Revenues (CZK MI)	106.317	90.17	91.86	93.71	74.52
EBITDA(CZK MI)	11.69	8.08	7.85	24.51	16.99
Pretax Income From Continuing Ops (CZK MI)	8.45	6.26	6.39	22.87	15.36
Net Income (CZK MI)	7.08	4.75	4.27	22.93	11.40
Total Assets (CZK MI)	63.37	53.21	49.04	47.22	38.95
Total Debt (CZK MI)	2.95	2.54	NA	NA	NA
Shareholders' Equity (CZK MI)	29.28	26.69	27.94	41.84	30.11
EBITDA/Margin (%)	11.0%	9.0%	8.5%	26.2%	22.8%
Net Profit Margin (%)	6.7%	5.3%	4.6%	24.5%	15.3%
Adjusted Debt / EBITDA(x)	0.3x	0.3x	NA	NA	NA
Adjusted (EBITDA- Capex) Cvr of Interest (x)	39.6x	31.9x	NA	NA	NA
Adjusted EBIT Coverage of Interest (x)	29.7x	25.3x	NA	NA	NA
Adjusted Free Cash Flow % Debt	255%	380%	NA	NA	NA

[1] Data based on audited Czech GAAP accounts [2] 1 USD = 19 CZK as at 31 Dec 2010

### Opinion

#### SUMMARY RATING RATIONALE

Pojistovaci maklerstvi INPOL a.s.'s (Inpol) Baa1.cz national scale rating reflects the company's continued profitability, very limited debt burden and the conservative nature of its investments. Further, Inpol's client retention is good, underpinned by the provision of high quality service.

Constraining the rating are Inpol's limited market position (11th largest insurance broker in the Czech Republic, in terms of intermediated premiums), its lack of geographic diversification and concentration on the competitive Czech broker market, and relatively small size.

#### Credit Strengths

- Consistent profitability in recent years, with a strong ROE performance even during the financial crisis
- Very limited financial leverage with own funds held in bank accounts
- Good client retention

#### Credit Challenges

- Relatively small size with core shareholders' equity of around USD 1.5m at YE10
- Lack of geographic diversification with the vast majority of revenues generated in the Czech insurance market

- Concentrated revenue with Ceska Pojistovna and Kooperativa Pojistovna underwriting around 39% and 36% of brokered insurance premiums, respectively, and with around 62% of revenues generated by 10 largest clients

- High dividend payouts

### **Rating Outlook**

The rating outlook is stable.

### **What Could Change the Rating - Up**

Inpol has a stable position amongst insurance brokers in the local market. Any co-operation with or takeover by a strong international broker group may have a positive impact on the issuer rating.

### **What Could Change the Rating - Down**

- Significant changes to the management or ownership would prompt a review of the rating
- Significant deterioration of the market position affecting Inpol's revenues and ability to generate profit
- Falls in the EBITDA margin below 5%
- Debt issuance increasing Debt / EBITDA above 3x
- Debt-financed dividend pay-outs or ongoing weakening of shareholders' equity

### **Recent Events**

In 2010, total gross revenues increased by 18%, to CZK106.3 million (USD5.6 million) from CZK90.2 million in 2009. Average annual growth since 2006 has been 5.4%. Net income before tax improved by 35% to CZK8.5 million from CZK6.3 million in 2009.

At YE10, Inpol reported total assets of CZK63.4 million (USD3.3 million) and shareholders' equity increased by 10% to CZK29.3 million (USD1.5 million), despite further increase in the dividend payment.

In 2010, Inpol established an online insurance provider, Inpol Online sro, jointly owned with Emersson systems a.s.

### **DETAILED RATING CONSIDERATIONS**

#### **Factor 1 - Business Profile: Caa**

Inpol's 2010 total revenues of CZK106.3 million, albeit 18% higher than in 2009, is extremely low on both, a global and a national comparative basis. Its market share of around 3%, while sufficient to place it amongst the 11 largest brokers, leaves it well behind the larger names such as RENOMA (c.11% market share), CSOB (c.9%) and Insia (c.8%).

Inpol's diversification is also highly limited both in terms of geography and product. In 2008, the Group acquired a 50:50 joint venture in Slovakia Inpol SK s.r.o., although this entity only contributes a very limited amount of the Group's revenues - approximately EUR 81 thousands in 2010. Inpol is focused on insurance brokerage, specialising in industrial and business risk insurance. It does offer other product lines such as retail property, liability and life insurance but these are provided as a VIP service to management and the owners on Inpol's client base and only very small amounts are written. Further concerns surround the concentration risk in the company's client base with the top 10 clients, which in 2010 soared to 62% of revenues (2009: 41%), meaning the defection of only a very small number of key clients could have a disproportionately large impact on the Group's profitability. Client retention remained high in 2010 with no change in Inpol's top 20 clients, although the company continues to be reliant on a small number of large clients.

Similarly, Inpol has considerable concentration risk with regards to placing the business they write, relying heavily on the two strongest Czech insurers Ceska Pojistovna and Kooperativa Pojistovna as underwriters for its clients' risks. However, this means a very strong relationship with the two dominant market players, who together account for nearly 50% of premiums in Czech insurance market and who are also regarded as a more reliable claims player than some peers.

The company is currently represented by five distribution branches that cover all Czech regions and to a lesser extent by external agents and strategic partnerships. In 2010 Inpol also took a 50% share in a newly established online insurance provider Inpol Online s.r.o., which is expected to further diversify its distribution mix.

#### **Factor 2 - Profitability: Ba**

Profitability is considered Inpol's credit strength and 2010 saw a further recovery with ROE of 24% (2009: 18%) on a one year basis. However, company's 3 year average EBITDA margin of 9.5% appears weaker in 2010 compared to 14.6% in 2009, as well as its 3 year net profit margin of 5.5% compares negatively to 11.5% in 2009, which reflects lower results since 2007. However, after the significant deterioration in 2008, Inpol's profitability has improved continuously.

#### **Factor 3 - Financial Flexibility: B**

Moodys views Inpol's financial flexibility as strong despite the company taking out a bank loan in 2009 after many years with no debt, following a change in accounting laws which made purchasing cars for the management more economical than leasing them.

However, at a total of CZK3.0 million (around USD0.2 million) at YE 2010, leverage remains extremely low and the company scores very highly in all our financial flexibility ratios. Inpol's investments are almost exclusively in own funds held in bank accounts with UniCredit. However, the company's flexibility is constrained by its likely difficulties in raising funds if necessary, reflecting both, the company's small size and the limited capacity of the Czech capital markets, although the company's close relationship with UniCredit suggests that bank facilities may be available should the need become pressing. More negatively, Moody's notes the increased dividend payments since 2009.

#### Other Considerations

Inpol's ownership is concentrated in the hands of just two individuals who are members of the management board.

#### National Scale Rating

Moody's National Scale Ratings (NSRs) are intended as relative measures of creditworthiness among debt issues and issuers within a country, enabling market participants to better differentiate relative risks. NSRs in the Czech Republic are designated by the ".cz" suffix. NSRs differ from global scale ratings in that they are not globally comparable to the full universe of Moody's rated entities, but only with other rated entities within the same country.

#### Rating Factors

##### Pojistovaci maklerstvi INPOL a.s.[1]

Rating Scorecard	Aaa/Aa	A	Baa	Ba	B	Caa	Score	[2]Adjusted Score
<b>Business Profile (30%)</b>							Caa	Caa
Total Revenues (\$ MI) (TTM)						\$6		
Diversification Score (#)					2			
<b>Profitability (20%)</b>							Ba	Ba
EBITDA Margin (%) (3-yr avg)					9.5%			
Net Profit Margin (%) (3-yr avg)				5.5%				
Sharpe Ratio of Net Profit Margin (x) (5-yr)					1.3x			
<b>Financial Flexibility (50%)</b>							A	B
Debt / EBITDA(x) (TTM)	0.3x							
(EBITDA- Capex) Cvr of Interest (x) (TTM)	39.6x							
Free Cash Flow % Debt (TTM)	255%							
<b>Aggregate Profile</b>							Baa3	B1

[1] Scorecard used to generate senior unsecured debt ratings of investment-grade issuers and corporate family ratings of speculative-grade issuers. [2] The aggregate adjusted score is an important component of the company's published rating, reflecting the stand-alone credit quality before other considerations (discussed above if applicable) are incorporated into the analysis.



© 2011 Moody's Investors Service, Inc. and/or its licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. ("MIS") AND ITS AFFILIATES ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF

SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT. All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources Moody's considers to be reliable, including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process. Under no circumstances shall MOODY'S have any liability to any person or entity for (a) any loss or damage in whole or in part caused by, resulting from, or relating to, any error (negligent or otherwise) or other circumstance or contingency within or outside the control of MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees or agents in connection with the procurement, collection, compilation, analysis, interpretation, communication, publication or delivery of any such information, or (b) any direct, indirect, special, consequential, compensatory or incidental damages whatsoever (including without limitation, lost profits), even if MOODY'S is advised in advance of the possibility of such damages, resulting from the use of or inability to use, any such information. The ratings, financial reporting analysis, projections, and other observations, if any, constituting part of the information contained herein are, and must be construed solely as, statements of opinion and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, sell or hold any securities. Each user of the information contained herein must make its own study and evaluation of each security it may consider purchasing, holding or selling. NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

MIS, a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MIS have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MIS for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at [www.moody's.com](http://www.moody's.com) under the heading "Shareholder Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Any publication into Australia of this document is by MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657, which holds Australian Financial Services License no. 336969. This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, credit ratings assigned on and after October 1, 2010 by Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") are MJKK's current opinions of the relative future credit risk of entities, credit commitments, or debt or debt-like securities. In such a case, "MIS" in the foregoing statements shall be deemed to be replaced with "MJKK". MJKK is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO.

This credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness or a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors. It would be dangerous for retail investors to make any investment decision based on this credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.